

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,121	03/24/2004	Hong-Da Liu	25313-1010	7692
24504	7590 10/14/2005		EXAMINER	
	KAYDEN, HORSTEME	QI, ZHI QIANG		
100 GALLE STE 1750	RIA PARKWAY, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA,	GA 30339-5948		2871	· ·

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			=Y
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	10/809,121	LIU, HONG-DA	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mike Qi	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence add	lress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a reposite apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. Dly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this con NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
,	action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	nce except for formal matte		merits is
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-38 are subject to restriction and/or expressions. 	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			7.4.404(4)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	·		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Ap rity documents have been r u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)	ormal Patent Application (PTO-	.152)

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-17, drawn to a structure of a dual-sided flat panel display, classified in class 349, subclass 61.
 - II. Claims 18-38, drawn to operation method of a dual-sided flat panel display, classified in class 345, subclass 90.
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. For example, a dual-sided flat panel display can be used by different operating method.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

If applicant elected **Group I**, a further election of one of the following species is required. Because the application contains claims directed to several elements each having several patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

a) the flat panel display is a liquid crystal display (LCD) or a STN-LCD [claims 4 and 8];

Art Unit: 2871

- b) the flat panel display is an organic light-emitting diode (OLED) [claim 9];
- c) the flat panel display is an electrophoresis display [claim 10];
- 2) a) the driving array comprises a thin film transistor (TFT) array [claim 5];
 - b) the driving array comprises a passive matrix driving array [claim 6];
 - c) the driving array comprises a thin film diode (TFD) array [claim 7];
- a) the light source modules are provided by the same light source [claim11];
 - b) the light source modules are provided by different light source [claim 12];
- 4) a) the light source is LEDs [claim 13];
 - b) the light source is cold cathode fluorescent lamps [claim 14];
- 5) a) the light source comprises red light, blue light and green light [claim 15];
 - b) the light source comprises yellow light, magenta light and cyan light [claim 16];
 - c) the light source is white light source [claim 17].

If applicant elected **Group II**, a further election of one of the following species is required. Because the application contains claims directed to several elements each having several patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- a) the driving array comprises a thin film transistor (TFT) array [claim 19];
 - b) the driving array comprises a passive matrix driving array [claim 20];
 - c) the driving array comprises a thin film diode (TFD) array [claim 21];
- 2) a) the flat panel display is a STN-LCD [claim 22];

Art Unit: 2871

- b) the flat panel display is an organic light-emitting diode (OLED) [claim 23];
 - c) the flat panel display is an electrophoresis display [claim 24];
- a) the first and second light source modules are provided by the same light source [claim 25];
 - b) the first and second light source modules are provided by different light source [claim 26];
- 4) a) the light source is LEDs [claim 27];
 - b) the light source is cold cathode fluorescent lamps [claim 28];
- 5) a) the light source is white light source [claim 29];
 - a) the light source comprises red light, blue light and green light [claim 30];
 - b) the light source comprises yellow light, magenta light and cyan light [claim 31];
- 6) a) using white light as light source [claim 35];
 - b) using red, blue and green light as light source [claim 36];
- a) the first and second signal display image using image sequential technology [claim 37];
 - b) the first and second signal display image using color sequential technology [claim 38].

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species from each of the elements for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be

Art Unit: 2871

100 Number: 10/005,12

restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mike Qi whose telephone number is (571) 272-2299. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T 8:00 am-5:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,121 Page 6

Art Unit: 2871

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (571) 272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mike Qi October 11, 2005

> Hydro Llecto ANDREW SCHECHTER PRIMARY EXAMINER